

WHISTLE BLOWER POLICY & VIGIL MECHANISM

Members of the Risk Committee of the Board in their meeting held on 12-Jun-2015 has adopted Vigil Mechanism/Whistle Blower Policy. Further, members of the Board recommended a review of the said policy. Following review, this amended policy is proposed to be adopted. The key change in the policy is that the Vigilance Officer is being changed from Mr. Rajan Juneja, Head of Credit to Mr. Anurag Agrawal, Director of the Company. Further, the rest of the changes are presentational and not material. We have benchmarked this policy with the similar policies adopted by other listed companies – especially large Banks and NBFCs operating in India.

1. OBJECTIVE OF THE POLICY:

The objective of this Policy is to provide a framework to promote responsible and secure whistle blowing. It protects the employees wishing to raise a concern about serious irregularities within the Company. The Company encourages its directors and employees who have genuine concerns about suspected misconduct to come forward and express these concerns without fear of punishment or unfair treatment.

A Vigil Mechanism provides a channel to the Employees and Directors to report to the management concerns about unethical behaviour, actual or suspected fraud or violation of the Codes of Conduct or policy. The mechanism provides for adequate safeguards against victimization of both the whistleblower and also the accused under a whistleblower event and to avail of the mechanism and also provide for direct and discreet access to the Vigilance Officer and further escalation to Chairperson of the Audit Committee in exceptional cases.

This policy, however, neither releases employees from their duty of confidentiality in the course of their work nor can it be used as a route for raising malicious or unfounded allegations against people in authority and / or colleagues in general.

2. SCOPE OF THE POLICY:

This Policy covers malpractices and events which have taken place, suspected to have taken place, misuse or abuse of authority, fraud or suspected fraud, violation of the Company rules, manipulations, negligence causing danger to public health and safety, misappropriation of monies, and other matters or activity on account of which the interest of the Company is affected and formally reported by whistle blowers. This Policy is intended to encourage and enable employees to raise serious concerns within the Company prior to seeking resolution outside the Company.

3. DEFINITIONS:

“Audit Committee” means a Committee constituted by the Board of Directors of the Company in accordance with guidelines of Listing Agreement and Companies Act, 2013.

“Board” means the Board of Directors of the Company.

“Company” means Jain Sons Finlease Limited and all its offices.

“Employee” means all the present employees and Directors of the Company.

“Protected Disclosure” means any communication in good faith that discloses or demonstrates information that may evidence unethical or improper activity.

“Subject” means a person or group of persons against or in relation to whom a Protected Disclosure is made or evidence gathered during the course of an investigation.

“Vigilance and Ethics Officer” means an officer appointed to receive protected disclosures from whistle blowers, maintaining records thereof, placing the same before the Audit Committee for its disposal and informing the Whistle Blower the result thereof.

“Whistle Blower” is an employee or group of employees who make a Protected Disclosure under this Policy and also referred in this policy as complainant.

4. REPORTING OF PROTECTED DISCLOSURE:

All employees of the Company are eligible to make protected disclosures under the policy in relation to matters concerning the Company. The Company does not tolerate any malpractice, impropriety, statutory non-compliance or wrongdoing. This Policy ensures that employees are empowered to proactively bring to light such instances without fear of reprisal, discrimination or adverse employment consequences. This Policy is not, however, to be used as a means to reconsider any matters which have already been addressed pursuant to disciplinary or other internal procedures of the Company.

All Protected Disclosures should be reported in writing by the Whistle Blower as soon as possible after the Whistle Blower becomes aware of the same so as to ensure a clear understanding of the issues raised.

Employees can lodge a Protected Disclosure in one of the following ways:

- a. By sending an email to Vigilance and Ethics Officer with the subject “Protected Disclosure under the Whistle Blower Policy”.
- b. By sending letter in a closed and secured envelop and super scribed as “Protected Disclosure under the Whistle Blower Policy” to the Vigilance and Ethics Officer (as notified from time to time). Letter should either be typed or written in a legible handwriting in English or Hindi.

Although a Whistle blower is not required to furnish any more information than what he/she wishes to disclose, it is essential for the Company to have all critical information in order to enable the Company to effectively evaluate and investigate the complaint. It is difficult for the Company to proceed with an investigation on a complaint, which does not contain all the critical information such as the specific charge. The complaint or disclosure must therefore provide as much detail and be as specific as possible in order to facilitate the investigation. To the extent possible, the complaint or disclosure must include the following:

1. The employee, and/or outside party or parties involved;
2. The sector of the Company where it happened (Location, Department, office);
3. When did it happen: a date or a period or time;
4. Type of concern (what happened);
 - a) Financial reporting;
 - b) Legal matter;
 - c) Management action;
 - d) Employee misconduct; and/or
 - e) Health & safety and environmental issues.
5. Submit proof or identify where proof can be found, if possible;
6. Who to contact for more information, if possible; and/or
7. Prior efforts to address the problem, if any.

In order to prevent duplication of roles, following elements are excluded from this policy since this is already covered under – “*Feedback and Grievance redressal mechanism*” which complies with the RBI guidelines on Fair Practices Code and prevention of sexual harassment under the Company’s Act. Therefore, this policy shall not be used:

- a. For raising grievances related to employees’ own career / other personal grievances.
- b. For raising grievances related to career of other employees / colleagues.
- c. For Grievances arising out of the policies / procedures of the Company and any decision taken by the superior / management in this respect.
- d. For Grievances related to such other similar issues like a, b and c hereinabove.

All Protected Disclosures should be addressed to the Vigilance and Ethics Officer of the Company or to the Chairman of the Audit Committee in exceptional cases. The contact details of the Vigilance and Ethics Officer are as under:

Name:	Mr. Anurag Agarwal
Email id:	anurag@aavishkaar.in;
Address:	Jain Sons Finlease Limited, 12B, 3 rd Floor, Techniplex-II IT Park, Off. Veer Savarkar Flyover, Goregaon (West), Mumbai - 400062.

Protected Disclosure against the Vigilance and Ethics Officer should be addressed to the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

If the Whistle blower requests anonymity, the Company will not disclose the identity of the Whistle blower unless legally required or when anonymity is impractical for the purposes of dealing with the Complaint. Anonymous / Pseudonymous disclosure shall not be entertained by the Vigilance and Ethics Officer. While this Policy is intended to protect genuine Whistle Blowers from any unfair treatment as a result of their disclosure, misuse of this protection by making frivolous and bogus complaints with mala fide intentions is strictly prohibited. An employee who makes complaints with mala fide intentions and which is subsequently found to be false will be subject to strict disciplinary action.

The Whistle blower’s role is that of a reporting party. Whistle blowers are not investigators or finders of facts; neither can they determine the appropriate corrective or remedial action that may be warranted.

5. RECEIPT, INVESTIGATION AND DISPOSAL OF PROTECTED DISCLOSURES:

5.1. Receipt of Protected Disclosures:

On receipt of the Protected Disclosure, the Vigilance and Ethics Officer / the Chairman of the Audit Committee, as the case may be, shall make a record of the Protected Disclosure and also ascertain from the complainant whether he was the person who made the protected disclosure or not. He shall also carry out initial investigation either himself or by involving any other Officer of the Company before referring the matter to the Audit Committee of the Company for further appropriate investigation and needful action. The record will include:

- a. Brief facts;
- b. Whether the same Protected Disclosure was raised previously by anyone on the subject, and if so, the outcome thereof;
- c. Details of actions taken by the Vigilance and Ethics Officer / the Chairman of the Audit Committee processing the complaint; and

d. Findings and recommendations.

The Audit Committee, if deems fit, may call for further information or particulars from complainant.

5.2. Investigation of Protected Disclosures:

The decision to conduct an investigation is by itself not an accusation and is to be treated as a neutral fact-finding process. Subject(s) will normally be informed in writing of the allegations at the outset of a formal investigation and have opportunities for providing their inputs during the investigation. He shall have a duty to co-operate with the Audit Committee or any of the Officers appointed by it in this regard and shall be subject to strict disciplinary action up to and including immediate dismissal, if they fail to cooperate in an investigation, or deliberately provide false information during an investigation.

Subject(s) have a right to consult with a person or persons of their choice, other than the Vigilance and Ethics Officer / Investigators and/or members of the Audit Committee and/or the Whistle Blower. He has a responsibility not to interfere with the investigation. Evidence shall not be withheld, destroyed or tampered with and witness shall not be influenced, coached, threatened or intimidated by him.

Unless there are compelling reasons not to do so, he will be given the opportunity to respond to material findings contained in the investigation report. No allegation of wrong doing against him shall be considered as maintainable unless there is good evidence in support of the allegation. He has a right to be informed of the outcome of the investigations. If allegations are not sustained, he should be consulted as to whether public disclosure of the investigation results would be in the best interest of him and the Company.

The investigation shall be completed normally within 90 days of the receipt of the protected disclosure and is extendable by such period as the Audit Committee deems fit.

All information disclosed during the course of the investigation will remain confidential, except as necessary or appropriate to conduct the investigation and take any remedial action, in accordance with any applicable laws and regulations. The Company reserves the right to refer any concerns or complaints regarding Protected Disclosure to appropriate external regulatory authorities.

5.3. Disposal of Protected Disclosures:

If an investigation leads the Vigilance and Ethics Officer to conclude that an improper or unethical act has been committed, the Vigilance and Ethics Officer shall recommend to the management of the Company to take such disciplinary or corrective action commensurate with the severity of the offence, as he may deem fit. The Company may also take reasonable and necessary measures to prevent any further violations which may have resulted in a complaint being made. It is clarified that any disciplinary or corrective action initiated against the Subject as a result of the findings of an investigation pursuant to this Policy shall adhere to the applicable personnel or staff conduct and disciplinary procedures.

The Vigilance and Ethics Officer shall submit a report to the Chairman of the Audit Committee on a regular basis about all Protected Disclosures referred to him/her since the last report together with the results of investigations, if any.

A complainant who makes false allegations of unethical & improper practices or about alleged wrongful conduct of the Subject to the Vigilance and Ethics Officer or The Chairman or Chairman of the Audit Committee shall be subject to appropriate disciplinary action in accordance with the rules, procedures and policies of the Company.

6. PROTECTION:

No Personnel who, in good faith, makes a disclosure or lodges a complaint in accordance with this Policy shall suffer reprisal, discrimination or adverse employment consequences. Accordingly, the Company prohibits discrimination, retaliation or harassment of any kind against a Whistle blower, who based on his/her reasonable belief that one or more Protected Disclosure has occurred or are, occurring, reports that information. Any employee, who retaliates against a Whistle blower who has raised a Protected Disclosure or Complaint in good faith, will be subject to strict disciplinary action up to and including immediate termination of employment or termination of his/her relationship with the Company.

If any employee, who makes a disclosure in good faith, believes that he/she is being subjected to discrimination, retaliation or harassment for having made a report under this Policy, he/she must immediately report those facts to his/her supervisor, manager or point of contact. If, for any reason, he/she do not feel comfortable discussing the matter with these persons, he/she should bring the matter to the attention of the Vigilance and Ethic Officer or The Chairman of the Audit Committee in as an escalation point. It is imperative that such employee brings the matter to the Company's attention promptly so that any concern of reprisal, discrimination or adverse employment consequences can be investigated and addressed promptly and appropriately.

A Whistle Blower, who report any violation of the above clause to the Vigilance and Ethic Officer or the Chairman of the Audit Committee, who shall investigate into the same and recommend suitable action to the management.

The Company will take steps to minimize difficulties, which the Whistle Blower may experience as a result of making the Protected Disclosure. The identity of the Whistle Blower shall be kept confidential to the extent possible and permitted under law. Any other Employee assisting in the said investigation shall also be protected to the same extent as the Whistle Blower.

The Whistle Blower shall have right to access Chairman of the Audit Committee directly in exceptional cases and the Chairman of the Audit Committee is authorized to prescribe suitable directions in this regard.

7. REGULATORY COMPLIANCE:

Jain Sons Finlease Limited (Intellegrow) being listed Company requires to establish a vigil mechanism for directors and employees to report the genuine concerns as per the provisions of the section 177 of the Companies Act, 2013 in such manner as may be prescribed. Revised Clause 49 of the listing agreement between listed companies and the Stock Exchanges, inter alia also provides a mandatory requirement for all listed companies to devise an effective whistle blower mechanism for directors and employees to report concerns about unethical behaviour, actual or suspected fraud or violation of the Company's code of conduct or ethics policy.

8. RETENTION OF DOCUMENTS:

The Company shall maintain documentation of all Protected Disclosures or reports subject to this Policy. The documentation shall include any written submissions provided by the complainant, any other Company documents identified in the complaint or by the Company as relevant to the complaint, a summary of the date and manner in which the complaint was received by the Company and any response by the Company to the complainant. All such documentation shall be retained by

the Company for a minimum of five (5) years or such other period as specified by any other law in force, whichever is more, from the date of receipt of the complaint. Confidentiality will be maintained to the extent reasonably practicable depending on the requirements and nature of the investigation, as indicated above.

9. AMENDMENT TO THIS POLICY:

The Company reserves its right to amend or modify this Policy in whole or in part, at any time without assigning any reason whatsoever. Modification may be necessary, among other reasons, to maintain compliance with local, state, central and federal regulations and/or accommodate organizational changes within the Company. However, no such amendment or modification will be binding on the Employees and Directors unless the same is notified to them in writing.

xxx-